

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**CHARACTER EXPANSION OF KAC-MOODY CORRECTION
FACTORS**

KYU-HWAN LEE, DONGWEN LIU AND THOMAS OLIVER

Volume 313 No. 1

July 2021

CHARACTER EXPANSION OF KAC–MOODY CORRECTION FACTORS

KYU-HWAN LEE, DONGWEN LIU AND THOMAS OLIVER

A correction factor naturally arises in the theory of p -adic Kac–Moody groups. We expand the correction factor into a sum of irreducible characters of the underlying Kac–Moody algebra. We derive a formula for the coefficients which lie in the ring of power series with integral coefficients. In the case that the Weyl group is a universal Coxeter group, we show that the coefficients are actually polynomials.

1. Introduction

Let W be a Coxeter group, and consider its Poincaré series

$$\chi(q) := \sum_{w \in W} q^{\ell(w)},$$

where q is an indeterminate and $\ell(w)$ is the length of w . R. Steinberg [1968] showed that the series $\chi(q)$ represents a rational function in q . When W is the Weyl group of an irreducible, reduced, finite root system Φ , I.G. Macdonald [1972] found the following identity:

$$(1-1) \quad \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-w\alpha}}{1 - e^{-w\alpha}} \right) = \chi(q),$$

where Φ^+ is the set of positive roots and e^β is a formal exponential associated to β in the root lattice Q . Macdonald’s identity reflects the geometry of the flag manifold.

A generalization of the left-hand side of (1-1) to a Kac–Moody root system Φ would be

$$\mathcal{M}(q) := \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-w\alpha}}{1 - e^{-w\alpha}} \right)^{m(\alpha)},$$

This work was supported by the Simons Foundation (#318706), the John Fell Oxford University Press Research Fund and EPSRC (EP/S032460/1).

MSC2010: primary 17B22, 17B67; secondary 05E10.

Keywords: Kac–Moody algebras, Weyl groups, Poincaré series, Macdonald identity, correction factor, character expansions, Coxeter groups.

where $m(\alpha)$ is the multiplicity of α . The identity (1-1) is no longer true for $\mathcal{M}(q)$, and so it is interesting to compute the *correction factor* $\mathcal{M}(q)/\chi(q)$.¹ Macdonald [2003] computed this quotient for the affine Kac–Moody case. The computation turns out to be equivalent to the Macdonald constant term conjecture [1982], which was proven by I. Cherednik [1995].

The correction factor appears in the study of p -adic affine Kac–Moody groups, namely in the formal computation of Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series and in the study of corresponding Hecke algebras. For example, it was shown by Braverman, Finkelberg and Kazhdan [Braverman et al. 2012] that this correction factor appears in the Gindikin–Karpel’vich formula for affine Kac–Moody groups; see also [Braverman et al. 2014; 2016; Gaussent and Rousseau 2014; Bardy-Panse et al. 2016]. The correction factor in the general case was studied by Muthiah, Puskás and Whitehead [Muthiah et al. 2020]. They encoded the data of the correction factor into a collection of polynomials indexed by positive imaginary roots and derived formulas for these polynomials.

We study the correction factor $\mathcal{M}(q)/\chi(q)$ for arbitrary Kac–Moody root systems, which we write as a sum of characters $\text{ch}(L(\lambda))$ of integrable irreducible representations $L(\lambda)$ of the Kac–Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} with root system Φ . As the first main result of this paper, we prove that the sum is supported on $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$, where P^+ is the set of dominant integral weights and Q_{im}^- is the cone generated by negative imaginary roots. More precisely, we obtain:

Theorem 1.1. *Given a Kac–Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} , let P^+ denote its set of dominant integral weights and Q_{im}^- its negative imaginary root cone. Then there are $d_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$, such that*

$$(1-2) \quad \mathcal{M}(q)/\chi(q) = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-} d_\lambda \text{ch}(L(\lambda)).$$

Actually, we prove this result for any W -invariant functions with support in the negative root cone Q^- ; see Theorem 2.13. We recover (1-1) as an immediate consequence, since $P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^- = \{0\}$ for finite root systems. This result also explains why the known formulas in the affine case only involve imaginary roots.

The coefficients d_λ are related to the function $H(\mu; q)$, $\mu \in Q$, which was introduced by Kim and Lee [2011; 2012] in a study of p -adic integrals using canonical/crystal bases from the context of Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series; see [Bump 2012] for a survey. See Definition 3.1 for the definition of $H(\mu; q)$. We

¹A slight modification of this quotient, denoted by m , is what Macdonald called the *constant term* in the affine case and is also called the “correction factor” in the literature (see (2-10) for a precise definition).

prove the following formula (Theorem 3.5):

$$(1-3) \quad \chi(q)d_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda; q),$$

where $w \circ \lambda := w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ with a Weyl vector ρ .

Using (1-3), one can compute d_λ explicitly. In particular, in the rank 2 hyperbolic case, we observe that they are actually polynomials in q . Generalizing this observation, we prove that d_λ are always polynomials when W is a universal Coxeter group, or equivalently, when $a_{ij}a_{ji} \geq 4$ for all $i, j \in I$ with the generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ of \mathfrak{g} . Formally, we obtain:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} is a universal Coxeter group. Then we have $d_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ for all $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$.*

It would be very interesting to see if d_λ are polynomials for arbitrary Kac–Moody root systems. We expect that these coefficients carry important combinatorial, representation-theoretic information, which is yet to be revealed. We hope that we can investigate these issues in the near future.

The main text proceeds as follows. In Section 2 we review standard background material and construct a large ring containing $\mathcal{M}(q)$ equipped with a W -action. We conclude with the statement that W -invariant elements admit a character expansion, which applies in particular to $\mathcal{M}(q)$. In Section 3 we compute the character coefficients in terms of the function H . Though the formula deduced involves an infinite sum, it exhibits a large amount of cancellation and in Section 4 we show that it is in fact a polynomial when W is a universal Coxeter group. In the Appendix, we give compute the coefficients for certain small imaginary roots of a rank 2 hyperbolic Kac–Moody algebra.

2. Existence of character coefficients

We will use the conventions and terminology of [Kac 1983]. Let $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let A be a generalized Cartan matrix with realization $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$. In particular, the elements of the set $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ (resp. $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$) are the simple roots (resp. simple coroots). The root lattice Q (resp. positive root cone Q^+) is the \mathbb{Z} -span (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -span) of Π . We set $Q^- = -Q^+$. A partial order \geq on \mathfrak{h}^* is defined by $\mu \geq \nu$ if $\mu - \nu \in Q^+$. We say $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is positive (resp. negative) if $\alpha > 0$ (resp. $\alpha < 0$).

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Kac–Moody algebra associated to A , which admits the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, with $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$. Given $\alpha \in Q$, its multiplicity $m(\alpha)$ is the dimension of the vector space \mathfrak{g}_α . A nonzero $\alpha \in Q$ is a root if $m(\alpha) \neq 0$. We will denote the set of roots by Φ , and the set of positive (resp. negative) roots by Φ^+ (resp. Φ^-).

Let W denote the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , which is the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by the simple reflections s_i , $i \in I$. A root $\alpha \in \Phi$ is called real if there is $w \in W$ such that $w\alpha$ is a simple root. A root that is not real is called imaginary. If α is real, then $m(\alpha) = 1$. The set of real (resp. imaginary) roots is denoted by Φ_{re} (resp. Φ_{im}), and the set of positive real (resp. positive imaginary) roots is denoted by Φ_{re}^+ (resp. Φ_{im}^+).

Let q denote a formal variable, and let $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ be the ring of power series in q with integer coefficients. Recall that $f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ is invertible if and only if the constant term $f(0)$ of f is equal to ± 1 . The inverse of a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ will be written as a fraction whenever it is convenient. For example, we write

$$\frac{1}{1-q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots.$$

Example 2.1. The Poincaré series of the Weyl group W is defined as follows:

$$(2-1) \quad \chi(q) = \sum_{w \in W} q^{\ell(w)} \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]],$$

where the length $\ell(w)$ of $w \in W$ is the minimal ℓ such that $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is a product of simple reflections. As the only word of length 0 is the identity element, the constant term of $\chi(q)$ is 1. Thus, $\chi(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]^\times$.

Notation. To each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we associate a formal exponential denoted by e^λ , and define $e^\lambda e^\mu = e^{\lambda+\mu}$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $\mathbb{Z}((q))$ denote the ring of Laurent series with integral coefficients, and let R be a subring of $\mathbb{Z}((q))$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(R)$ the additive group of formal sums $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} a_\lambda e^\lambda$ with $a_\lambda \in R$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Definition 2.2. The *support* of a formal sum $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} a_\lambda e^\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ is the set of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $a_\lambda \neq 0$.

If $f = \sum_{\lambda \in Q} a_\lambda e^\lambda$ is a unit of $\mathcal{S}(R)$ and has support in a translate of Q^- , then f has a unique product expansion as in [Muthiah et al. 2020, Proposition 2.2]:

$$(2-2) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in Q} a_\lambda e^\lambda = u e^{\lambda_0} \prod_{\lambda \in Q^- \setminus \{0\}} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 - q^n e^\lambda)^{m(\lambda, n)},$$

for some $u \in R^\times$, $\lambda_0 \in Q$ and $m(\lambda, n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for every λ , the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : m(\lambda, n) \neq 0\}$ is bounded below.

Definition 2.3 [Muthiah et al. 2020, Section 2.3]. A product of the form (2-2) is called a *good product with coefficients in R* if all λ appearing in its factors are multiples of roots $\alpha \in \Phi$, and the set of factors corresponding to any real root $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}$ is finite. We will denote by $\mathcal{G}(R)$ the multiplicative group of good products with coefficients in R .

An element of $\mathcal{G}(R)$ expands to a formal sum in $\mathcal{S}(R)$ by definition. The notion of a good product is introduced, in part, to define the action of W as below.

Definition 2.4. We define an action of W on $\mathcal{G}(R)$ by extending the following action on the factors of (2-2) multiplicatively:

$$(2-3) \quad w(1 - q^n e^\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 - q^n e^{w(\lambda)} & w(\lambda) < 0, \\ (-q^n e^{w(\lambda)})(1 - q^{-n} e^{-w(\lambda)}) & w(\lambda) > 0, \end{cases}$$

for $w \in W$. Given $f \in \mathcal{G}(R)$, we will sometimes write $f^w = w(f)$. We will denote by $\mathcal{G}^W(R)$ the ring of W -invariant elements of $\mathcal{G}(R)$.

Define the negative imaginary cone Q_{im}^- to be the cone generated by negative imaginary roots. Then we have $Q_{\text{im}}^- = \bigcap_{w \in W} w(Q^-)$. Thus if $f \in \mathcal{G}^W(R)$ is supported on Q^- , then it is in fact supported on Q_{im}^- . It was noted in [Muthiah et al. 2020] that, for $w \in W$ and $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} a_\lambda e^\lambda \in \mathcal{G}(R)$, we have

$$(2-4) \quad w(f) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} a_\lambda e^{w\lambda}.$$

Remark 2.5. The set of $f \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ supported on Q^- is not closed under the action of W defined by (2-4), but $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is.

The basic good product in this paper is

$$(2-5) \quad \Delta := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right)^{m(\alpha)}.$$

Here $(1 - qe^{-\alpha})/(1 - e^{-\alpha}) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 - q)e^{-n\alpha}$, and it is clear that $\Delta \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}[q])$.

Since $m(\alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}^+$, we set

$$(2-6) \quad \Delta_{\text{re}} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}^+} \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right), \quad \Delta_{\text{im}} := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{im}}^+} \left(\frac{1 - qe^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right)^{m(\alpha)}$$

so that we have

$$\Delta = \Delta_{\text{re}} \Delta_{\text{im}}.$$

Finally, define

$$(2-7) \quad \mathcal{M}(q) := \sum_{w \in W} \Delta^w.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is W -invariant since it is the sum of W -action on Δ .

Lemma 2.6. *The formal sum $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is a W -invariant good product with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}(q) \in \mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is supported on Q_{im}^- and has the constant term equal to $\chi(q)$.*

Proof. Since the set Φ_{im}^+ is W -invariant, and $m(w\alpha) = m(\alpha)$ for $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in \Phi$, we have $\Delta_{\text{im}}^w = \Delta_{\text{im}}$. It follows that $\Delta^w = (\Delta_{\text{re}} \Delta_{\text{im}})^w = \Delta_{\text{re}}^w \Delta_{\text{im}}$. By Definition 2.4, we have

$$w\left(\frac{1-qe^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-qe^{-w(\alpha)}}{1-e^{-w(\alpha)}} & \text{if } w(\alpha) > 0, \\ \frac{qe^{-w(\alpha)}(1-q^{-1}e^{w(\alpha)})}{e^{-w(\alpha)}(1-e^{w(\alpha)})} = \frac{q(1-q^{-1}e^{w(\alpha)})}{1-e^{w(\alpha)}} & \text{if } w(\alpha) < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}^+$. One can immediately see that the sum $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is supported on Q^- . Since

$$(2-8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1-qe^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}} &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (1-q)e^{-n\alpha}, \\ \frac{q(1-q^{-1}e^{-\alpha})}{1-e^{-\alpha}} &= \frac{q-e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}} = q - \sum_{n \geq 1} (1-q)e^{-n\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that Δ^w is a good product with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$, i.e., $\Delta^w \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}[q])$.

Now we check that the coefficient of $e^{-\beta}$ in $\mathcal{M}(q) = \sum_{w \in W} \Delta^w$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ for $\beta \in Q^+$. For $w \in W$, define

$$\Phi(w) := \{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}^+ \mid w(\alpha) < 0\} = \Phi^+ \cap w^{-1}\Phi^-.$$

It is well-known that $|\Phi(w)| = \ell(w)$. Thus we have

$$(2-9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{re}}^w &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi(w^{-1})} \left(\frac{q-e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right) \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}^+ \setminus \Phi(w^{-1})} \left(\frac{1-qe^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right) \\ &= q^{\ell(w)} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi(w^{-1})} \left(\frac{1-q^{-1}e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right) \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\text{re}}^+ \setminus \Phi(w^{-1})} \left(\frac{1-qe^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta \in Q^+$, the coefficient of $e^{-\beta}$ in $\Delta^w = \Delta_{\text{re}}^w \Delta_{\text{im}}$, a priori an element in $\mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, is of the form

$$q^{\ell(w)} p_{\beta, w}$$

for some $p_{\beta, w} \in \mathbb{Z}((q))$. Recall the *height* of $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i \in Q^+$, $m_i \geq 0$, is defined to be

$$\text{ht}(\beta) := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i.$$

It is easy to observe from (2-8) and (2-9) the crude estimate that the degrees of $p_{\beta, w}$ in q^{-1} and q are both bounded by $\text{ht}(\beta)$. Thus we have $p_{\beta, w} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. Moreover q^m appears in $q^{\ell(w)} p_{\beta, w}$ only if $\ell(w) \leq m + \text{ht}(\beta)$. Since there are only

finitely many $w \in W$ of a given length, we see that

$$\mathcal{M}(q) = \sum_{w \in W} \Delta^w = \sum_{\beta \in Q^+} \left(\sum_{w \in W} q^{\ell(w)} p_{\beta,w} \right) e^{-\beta}$$

with the coefficient of $e^{-\beta}$ given by a well-defined sum

$$\sum_{w \in W} q^{\ell(w)} p_{\beta,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]].$$

In particular, when $\beta = 0$, we have $p_{0,w} = 1$ for all $w \in W$ and the constant term of $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is equal to $\sum_{w \in W} q^{\ell(w)} = \chi(q)$.

We have already seen that $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is supported on Q^- at the beginning of the proof. Since $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is also W -invariant, it is supported on Q_{im}^- . (See the paragraph after Definition 2.4.)

Using [Muthiah et al. 2020, Proposition 2.2], we may write $\mathcal{M}(q)$ as a product of the form (2-2) with $\lambda_0 = 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is supported on Q_{im}^- , no factor corresponding to a real root arises in the product and hence $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is a good product. \square

Remark 2.7. (1) We have the following identity in $\mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$:

$$(2-10) \quad \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{M}(q) = \Delta_{\text{im}}\chi(q),$$

where \mathfrak{m} is as defined in [Muthiah et al. 2020, (3.5)]. Each of \mathfrak{m}^{-1} , Δ_{im} and $\mathcal{M}(q)$ expands to a formal sum supported on Q_{im}^- .

(2) In the paper [Bardy-Panse et al. 2019], it was pointed out that $\mathcal{M}(q)$ is not an element of $\mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}])$ but an element of $\mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}((q)))$. As a refinement, Lemma 2.6 shows that $\mathcal{M}(q) \in \mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$.

Now we move on to study a character expansion of an element in $\mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}[[q]])$.

Definition 2.8. Fix a Weyl vector $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, i.e., a vector satisfying $\rho(\alpha_i^\vee) = 1$, for all $i \in I$. The circle action² of W on \mathfrak{h}^* is defined by

$$(2-11) \quad w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho.$$

Example 2.9. We have

$$(2-12) \quad w \circ 0 = w\rho - \rho,$$

which can be written as a sum of negative roots. Indeed, one has

$$(2-13) \quad \rho - w\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(w^{-1})} \alpha,$$

²This action is slightly different from the action with the same notation in [Kim and Lee 2012].

where, for $w \in W$,

$$(2-14) \quad \Phi(w) := \Phi^+ \cap w^{-1}\Phi^-.$$

Denote by P the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} , and by $P^+ \subset P$ the subset of dominant integral weights. For $\lambda \in P$, define

$$\pi^\lambda := \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w\rho}}.$$

Recall the denominator identity

$$(2-15) \quad \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w\rho - \rho} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}.$$

For $\lambda \in P$, define

$$(2-16) \quad \xi^\lambda := \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w\circ\lambda}.$$

Lemma 2.10. (1) For $w \in W$, we have

$$w \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)} \right) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{\rho - w\rho} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}.$$

(2) For $\lambda \in P$ and $w \in W$, we have

$$\xi^\lambda = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \xi^{w\circ\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^\lambda = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \pi^{w\circ\lambda}.$$

Proof. (1) From the denominator identity (2-15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)} \right) &= \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_1)} e^{w w_1 \rho - w\rho} \\ &= \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(w w_1)} e^{w w_1 \rho - \rho} e^{\rho - w\rho} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{\rho - w\rho} \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w w_1)} e^{w w_1 \rho - \rho} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{\rho - w\rho} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $w \circ \lambda = \mu$. Then $w(\lambda + \rho) = \mu + \rho$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_1)} e^{w_1(\lambda + \rho)} &= \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w) + \ell(w_1 w^{-1})} e^{w_1 w^{-1} w(\lambda + \rho)} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)} \sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_1 w^{-1})} e^{w_1 w^{-1}(\mu + \rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $e^{-\rho}$, we get $\xi^\lambda = (-1)^{\ell(w)}\xi^\mu = (-1)^{\ell(w)}\xi^{w\circ\lambda}$. Dividing both sides by $\sum_{w_1 \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_1)} e^{w_1\rho - \rho}$, we obtain $\pi^\lambda = (-1)^{\ell(w)}\pi^{w\circ\lambda}$. \square

Consider the following subset of Q^- :

$$(2-17) \quad Q' := \bigcap_{w \in W} w \circ Q^-.$$

The Weyl group W acts on Q' by the circle action, and so $Q^- \subset Q'$.

Lemma 2.11. *Assume that $\lambda \in Q'$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *There exists a unique $\mu \in Q^-$ and $v \in W$ such that $\mu + \rho \in P^+$ and $v \circ \lambda = \mu$.*
- (2) *The stabilizer subgroup*

$$W_\lambda^\circ := \{w \in W : w \circ \lambda = \lambda\}$$

is generated by reflections in W .

- (3) *If $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q^-$, then $W_\lambda^\circ = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Write $\lambda = \sum_i m_i \alpha_i$ with $m_i \leq 0$ for all i . If $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$, there is nothing to prove. If not, there exists j such that $\lambda(\alpha_j^\vee) \leq -2$. We have

$$s_j \circ \lambda = s_j(\lambda + \rho) - \rho = \lambda - (\lambda(\alpha_j^\vee) + 1)\alpha_j \in Q^-.$$

Since $\lambda(\alpha_j^\vee) + 1 < 0$, we have $m_j < m_j - (\lambda(\alpha_j^\vee) + 1) \leq 0$. If $(s_j \circ \lambda) + \rho$ is in P^+ , we are done. Otherwise, repeat the process with replacing λ with $s_j \circ \lambda$. Since the coefficients are increasing and bounded above by 0, this process must end.

Assume that $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$. Suppose that $w \circ \lambda = \mu$ and $\mu + \rho \in P^+$ for $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \neq 1$, a reduced expression. Then we have $w(\lambda + \rho) = \mu + \rho$. Since $(\lambda + \rho)(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee) \geq 0$, we have $(\mu + \rho)(w(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee)) \geq 0$. Since $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is a reduced expression, we get $w(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee) < 0$, and $(\mu + \rho)(w(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee)) \leq 0$. Thus $(\mu + \rho)(w(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee)) = 0$ and $(\lambda + \rho)(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee) = 0$. Hence $s_{i_\ell}(\lambda + \rho) = \lambda + \rho$ and $s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda = \lambda$. By induction, we obtain $\mu = \lambda$, which completes a proof of (1). We have also shown that the subgroup W_λ° is generated by simple reflections for $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$.

Assume that $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q^-$, and suppose that $w \circ \lambda = \lambda$ for $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \neq 1$, a reduced expression. Then $(\lambda + \rho)(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee) > 0$ and the above argument leads to a contradiction. Thus we must have $w = 1$. This proves (3).

Now assume that $\lambda \in Q'$. By Lemma 2.11(1), there exists $v \in W$ such that $v \circ \lambda + \rho \in P^+$. Then $W_{v\circ\lambda}^\circ$ is generated by simple reflections s_i . Hence W_λ° is generated by $v^{-1} s_i v$, which are reflections. This completes a proof of (2). \square

Lemma 2.12. *Assume that $\lambda \in Q'$. The series $\xi^\lambda \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined in (2-16) is nonzero if and only if the stabilizer subgroup W_λ° of λ under the circle action is trivial.*

Proof. Suppose that $\xi^\lambda = 0$. Then the term e^λ cancels with $(-1)^{\ell(w)}e^{w\circ\lambda}$ for some $w \neq 1$. In particular, $\lambda = w \circ \lambda$, and the stabilizer subgroup W_λ° is not trivial.

Conversely, assume that the stabilizer subgroup W_λ° is not trivial. By Lemma 2.11 there exists a reflection $s \in W_\lambda^\circ$ such that $s \circ \lambda = \lambda$. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that $\xi^\lambda = (-1)^{\ell(s)}\xi^{s\circ\lambda} = -\xi^\lambda$. Hence $\xi_\lambda = 0$. \square

Given $\lambda \in P^+$, let $L(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible highest weight module of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight λ . The module $L(\lambda)$ admits a weight space decomposition $L(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} L_\mu$. The character $\text{ch}(L(\lambda))$ of $L(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$(2-18) \quad \text{ch}(L(\lambda)) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} (\dim L_\mu) e^\mu.$$

If $\lambda \in P^+$, then by [Kac 1983] we have

$$(2-19) \quad \pi^\lambda = \text{ch}(L(\lambda)).$$

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 2.13. *Given a Kac–Moody algebra \mathfrak{g} , let P^+ denote its set of dominant integral weights and Q_{im}^- its negative imaginary root cone. If $f \in \mathcal{G}^W(\mathbb{Z}\llbracket q \rrbracket)$ is such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset Q^-$, then there are $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\llbracket q \rrbracket$, $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$, such that*

$$(2-20) \quad f = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-} c_\lambda \text{ch}(L(\lambda)).$$

Proof. Since f is supported on Q^- , we may write the following product as a sum supported on Q^- :

$$(2-21) \quad \Xi = f \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)} = \sum_{\beta \in Q^-} c_\beta e^\beta.$$

As f is invariant under W , it follows from Lemma 2.10(1) that

$$(2-22) \quad \begin{aligned} w \left(f \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)} \right) &= \sum_{\beta \in Q^-} c_\beta e^{w\beta} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{\rho - w\rho} f \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in Q^-} (-1)^{\ell(w)} c_\gamma e^{\rho - w\rho + \gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients, we see that for $\beta \in Q^-$,

$$c_\beta = (-1)^{\ell(w)} c_{w\circ\beta}.$$

Moreover, $c_\beta = 0$ unless $\beta \in Q'$, i.e., Ξ is supported on Q' . If $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$ and $\lambda \notin P^+ \cap Q^-$ for $\lambda \in Q^-$, then there exists α_i^\vee such that $(\lambda + \rho)(\alpha_i^\vee) = 0$ and $s_i \circ \lambda = \lambda$. Thus $\xi^\lambda = 0$ by Lemma 2.12.

By Lemma 2.11(1) and the above argument, we group the terms of (2-21) to get a sum over $P^+ \cap Q^-$, which is the subset of representatives λ of the \circ -action of W on Q' such that $\xi^\lambda \neq 0$:

$$(2-23) \quad \Xi = \sum_{\beta \in Q'} c_\beta e^\beta = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q^-} c_\lambda \xi^\lambda.$$

On the other hand, for $\lambda \in P^+$, Weyl's character formula implies

$$(2-24) \quad \xi^\lambda = \text{ch}(L(\lambda)) \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}.$$

The result follows from combining (2-23) with (2-24), noting that f is in fact supported on Q_{im}^- . □

Remark 2.14. As mentioned in the introduction, we recover (1-1) as an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.13, since $P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^- = \{0\}$ for finite root systems. In the affine case, we have $P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^- = \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \cdot \delta$ with the minimal positive imaginary root δ , and the theorem shows that the right-hand side of (2-20) only involves imaginary roots.

3. A formula for the character coefficients

In this section, we derive a formula for the coefficients in the expansion of $\mathcal{M}(q)$ into a sum of characters. We begin with the definition of a function which will play an important role in what follows.

Definition 3.1 [Kim and Lee 2011; 2012]. The function $H : Q^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is defined by the generating series in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}[q])$:

$$(3-1) \quad \sum_{\mu \in Q^+} H(\mu; q) e^{-\mu} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - qe^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)},$$

where $m(\alpha)$ is the multiplicity of α . When we do not need to specify q , we will frequently write $H(\mu) = H(\mu; q)$.

Remark 3.2. In [Kim and Lee 2011; 2012], the function H was denoted by H_ρ . See (2-13) in [Kim and Lee 2012].

Definition 3.3. Let $\mu \in Q^+$, and $\mathcal{P} := \{(\alpha; i) : \alpha \in \Phi^+, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(\alpha)\}$. An *admissible partition* of μ is a finite set $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that $\sum_{(\alpha, i) \in \mathfrak{p}} \alpha = \mu$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ be the set of admissible partitions of μ . Given $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$, we will refer to an element $(\alpha, i) \in \mathfrak{p}$ as *part* of \mathfrak{p} , and denote the number of parts in \mathfrak{p} by $|\mathfrak{p}|$.

Examples of admissible partitions are given in the Appendix.

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$(3-2) \quad H(\mu) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)} (-q)^{|\mathfrak{p}|}.$$

Proof. Equation (3-2) follows from expanding the product in (3-1) and computing the coefficient of $e^{-\mu}$. \square

We now prove (1-3), which we state below as a theorem for ease of reference.

Theorem 3.5. *For $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$, define d_λ by (1-2). Then we have*

$$(3-3) \quad \chi(q)d_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda).$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(q) &= \sum_{w \in W} \Delta^w = \sum_{w \in W} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{(1 - qe^{-w\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}}{(1 - e^{-w\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} \frac{\sum_{\mu \in Q^+} H(\mu)e^{-w\mu}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-w\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in Q^-} H(-\mu) \sum_{w \in W} \frac{e^{w\mu}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-w\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.10 (1), we deduce that

$$\mathcal{M}(q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)}} \sum_{\mu \in Q^-} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-\mu)e^{w\circ\mu}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.13, put

$$\Xi := \mathcal{M}(q) \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{m(\alpha)} = \sum_{\mu \in Q^-} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-\mu)e^{w\circ\mu}.$$

Since Ξ is supported on Q' , we may rewrite the above double sum as

$$\Xi = \sum_{\beta \in Q'} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \beta)e^\beta.$$

The theorem then follows from (2-23). \square

Example 3.6. Given $w \in W$, write $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ as a reduced expression. If $\Phi(w^{-1})$ is as defined in (2-14), then

$$(3-4) \quad \Phi(w^{-1}) = \{\alpha_{i_1}, s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}), \dots, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell-1}}(\alpha_{i_\ell})\},$$

and

$$(3-5) \quad w \circ 0 = \rho - w\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi(w^{-1})} \alpha = \alpha_{i_1} + s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}) + \cdots + s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell-1}}(\alpha_{i_\ell}).$$

Suppose that

$$\rho - w\rho = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_k$$

for some positive roots $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \Phi^+$. Note that we have

$$s_{i_1}(\rho - w\rho) \notin Q^+.$$

Since s_{i_1} keeps Φ^+ except α_{i_1} , one of the β_i must equal α_{i_1} . Then $s_{i_1}(\rho - w\rho - \alpha_{i_1})$ is equal to $\rho - w'\rho$ where $w' = s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$. Arguing by induction on $\ell(w)$, we deduce that (3-5) is the unique decomposition of $w \circ 0$ into a sum of positive roots. Now it follows from (3-2) that

$$(3-6) \quad H(-w \circ 0) = H(\rho - w\rho) = (-q)^{\ell(w)},$$

and so the formula (3-3) yields

$$d_0 = 1.$$

Lemma 3.7. *For all nonzero $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q^-$ and $w \in W$, the coefficients of $H(-w \circ \lambda)$ sum to zero.*

Proof. From [Kim and Lee 2012, Lemma 3.18], we have

$$(3-7) \quad H(\mu; 1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(w)} & \text{if } \rho - w\rho = \mu \text{ for some } w \in W, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$(3-8) \quad -w \circ \lambda = -(w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho) = \rho - w(\lambda + \rho) \neq \rho - v\rho$$

for any $v \in W$. Equation (3-8) is equivalent to $\lambda + \rho \neq w^{-1}v\rho$, and so it is enough to show, for any $v \in W$,

$$\lambda \neq v\rho - \rho.$$

If $v = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Consider an arbitrary $v \neq 1$, and write v^{-1} as a reduced word $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$. Then we have

$$\rho(v^{-1}\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) < 0,$$

and

$$(v\rho - \rho)(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) = v\rho(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) - \rho(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) = \rho(v^{-1}\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) - 1 \leq -2.$$

Thus $v\rho - \rho \notin P^+$. Since $\lambda \in P^+$, we have $\lambda \neq v\rho - \rho$. □

Definition 3.8. Let $\lambda \in Q^-$ and

$$(3-9) \quad \mathfrak{p} = \{(\beta_1; m_1), (\beta_2; m_2), \dots, (\beta_t; m_t)\} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda).$$

Given $w \in W$, we define

$$(3-10) \quad m(\mathfrak{p}, w) = t - 2 \times \#\{(\beta_i; j) \in \mathfrak{p} : w\beta_i < 0\}.$$

With \mathfrak{p} as in (3-9), we define

$$\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}) := \begin{cases} \{(s_i\beta_1; m_1), \dots, (s_i\beta_t; m_t), (\alpha_i; 1)\} & \text{if } \beta_j \neq \alpha_i \text{ for any } j, \\ \{(s_i\beta_1; m_1), \dots, (s_i\beta_{j-1}; m_{j-1}), (s_i\beta_{j+1}; m_{j+1}), \dots, (s_i\beta_t; m_t)\} & \text{if } \beta_j = \alpha_i \text{ for some } j. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$-s_i \circ \lambda = -s_i(\lambda + \rho) + \rho = -s_i(\lambda) + \alpha_i,$$

we see that $\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{P}(-s_i \circ \lambda)$. In other words, ϕ_i defines a map $\mathcal{P}(-\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(-s_i \circ \lambda)$. Replacing λ with $s_i \circ \lambda$, we obtain similarly a map from $\mathcal{P}(-s_i \circ \lambda)$ to $\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)$. One can check that these maps are inverses to each other, and so the map ϕ_i is a bijection from $\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)$ to $\mathcal{P}(-s_i \circ \lambda)$.

Lemma 3.9. *If $\ell(ws_i) = \ell(w) + 1$, then*

$$m(\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}), w) = m(\mathfrak{p}, ws_i) + 1.$$

Proof. Consider $\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}) = \{(\beta_1; m_1), \dots, (\beta_t; m_t)\} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)$. First assume that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{(s_i\beta_1; m_1), \dots, (s_i\beta_t; m_t), (\alpha_i; 1)\}.$$

By applying ws_i to the first components, we get $w\beta_1, \dots, w\beta_t, -w\alpha_i$. Since $-w\alpha_i < 0$ from the condition $\ell(ws_i) = \ell(w) + 1$, we obtain

$$m(\mathfrak{p}, ws_i) = m(\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}), w) + 1 - 2 = m(\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}), w) - 1.$$

Next assume that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{(s_i\beta_1; m_1), \dots, (s_i\beta_{j-1}; m_{j-1}), (s_i\beta_{j+1}; m_{j+1}), \dots, (s_i\beta_t; m_t)\}.$$

In this case, we have $\beta_j = \alpha_i$, and obtain $m(\mathfrak{p}, ws_i) = m(\phi_i(\mathfrak{p}), w) - 1$. \square

Proposition 3.10. *If $\lambda \in Q^-$ and $w \in W$, then*

$$(3-11) \quad (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda) = q^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, w)}.$$

Proof. Write $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ as a reduced expression. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(-s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, \text{id})} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda)} (-q)^{m(\phi_{s_{i_1}}(\mathfrak{p}), \text{id})} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, s_{i_1})+1} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-s_{i_3} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda)} (-q)^{m(\phi_{s_{i_2}}(\mathfrak{p}), s_{i_1})} \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-s_{i_3} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \circ \lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, s_{i_1}s_{i_2})+2} \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell})+\ell},
 \end{aligned}$$

which amounts to the identity (3-11). □

4. Polynomiality

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. That is, we show that d_λ is a polynomial when the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} is a universal Coxeter group.

Assume that W be a universal Coxeter group of rank $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. By definition, the group W is isomorphic to the free product of n -copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Denote its generators by $s_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. The identity element is the only word of length 0, and for any $\ell \geq 1$ there are $n(n-1)^{\ell-1}$ words of length ℓ . We thus compute the Poincaré series of W to be

$$\chi(q) = \sum_{w \in W} q^{\ell(w)} = 1 + nq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((n-1)q)^k = 1 + \frac{nq}{1-(n-1)q} = \frac{1+q}{1-(n-1)q} \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]].$$

Given $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$, our aim is to establish the polynomiality of

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-1) \quad d_\lambda &= \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(w \circ \lambda)}{\chi(q)} \\
 &= \frac{(1-(n-1)q) \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(w \circ \lambda)}{1+q} \\
 &\in \mathbb{Z}[[q]].
 \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\lambda \in P^+ \cap Q_{\text{im}}^-$, and define

$$(4-2) \quad N = \max \left\{ \ell(w) + 1 \mid \begin{array}{l} (w\alpha_i; j) \text{ is a part of any } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda) \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } w \in W \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since W is a universal Coxeter group, the number N is well-defined. For the time being, fix an arbitrary element $v \in W$ of length N . Let W_v be the set of elements in W whose reduced word has v as its rightmost factor. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)$, define

$$(4-3) \quad m(\mathfrak{p}, W_v) = |\mathfrak{p}| - 2 \times \#\{(\beta_i; j) \in \mathfrak{p} \mid w\beta_i < 0 \text{ for some } w \in W_v\}.$$

Write

$$(4-4) \quad \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, W_v)} = \sum_{k=0}^r a_k q^k$$

for some $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 0$, and define

$$(4-5) \quad Q_v := \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} ((n-1)^k a_0 + (n-1)^{k-1} a_1 + (n-1)^{k-2} a_2 + \cdots + a_k) q^k,$$

$$(4-6) \quad A_v := (n-1)^r a_0 + (n-1)^{r-1} a_1 + (n-1)^{r-2} a_2 + \cdots + a_r.$$

It follows from (3-11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W_v} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda) &= \sum_{w \in W_v} q^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, w)} \\ &= q^N (Q_v + A_v q^r (1 + (n-1)q + (n-1)^2 q^2 + \cdots)) \\ &= q^N \left(Q_v + A_v \frac{q^r}{1 - (n-1)q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(4-7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda) \\ = \sum_{w \in W, \ell(w) < N} q^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} (-q)^{m(\mathfrak{p}, w)} + q^N \sum_{v \in W, \ell(v) = N} \left(Q_v + A_v \frac{q^r}{1 - (n-1)q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1. *For any $w \in W$, the sum*

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda)$$

is divisible by $1 + q$.

Proof. Let N be defined as in (4-2), and $v \in W$ be an arbitrary element of length N . For any $w \in W$, we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} 1^{m(\mathfrak{p}, w)} = |\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)|.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w) < N}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} 1^{m(\mathfrak{p}, w)} = |\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)| \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w) < N}} (-1)^{\ell(w)}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w) < N}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (-1)^k \#\{w \in W : \ell(w) = k\},$$

and

$$\#\{w \in W : \ell(w) = k\} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0, \\ n(n-1)^{k-1} & k > 0, \end{cases}$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w) < N}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} &= 1 - n + n(n-1) - n(n-1)^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{N-1} n(n-1)^{N-2} \\ &= 1 - n[1 + (-1)(n-1) + (-1)^2(n-1)^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{N-2}(n-1)^{N-2}] \\ &= 1 - n[1 + (1-n) + (1-n)^2 + \cdots + (1-n)^{N-2}] \\ &= 1 - n((1 - (1-n)^{N-1}) / (1 - (1-n))) \\ &= (1-n)^{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ \ell(w) < N}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(-\lambda)} 1^{m(\mathfrak{p}, w)} = (1-n)^{N-1} |\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)|.$$

Let A_v and Q_v be defined as in (4-6) and (4-5), respectively. Then we have

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{n} A_v = \frac{(-1)^r}{n} ((n-1)^r a_0 + (n-1)^{r-1} a_1 + \cdots + a_r),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_v &= a_0(1 - (n-1) + (n-1)^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{r-1}(n-1)^{r-1}) \\ &\quad - a_1(1 - (n-1) + (n-1)^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{r-2}(n-1)^{r-2}) + \cdots + (-1)^{r-1} a_{r-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(1 - (1-n)^r) a_0 - \frac{1}{n}(1 - (1-n)^{r-1}) a_1 + \cdots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{r-2} \frac{1}{n}(1 - (1-n)^2) a_{r-2} + (-1)^{r-1} a_{r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$Q_v + A_v \frac{(-1)^r}{n} = \frac{1}{n}(a_0 - a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + \dots + (-1)^r a_r) = \frac{1}{n}|\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)|.$$

Evaluating (4-7) at $q = -1$, we get

$$(1 - n)^{N-1}|\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)| + (-1)^N n(n-1)^{N-1} \frac{1}{n}|\mathcal{P}(-\lambda)| = 0. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from (4-7) that

$$(1 - (n - 1)q) \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda)$$

is a polynomial. By Proposition 4.1, the sum $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda)$ is divisible by $1 + q$. Thus we see from (4-1) that d_λ is a polynomial. \square

Remark 4.2. From [Kim and Lee 2012, (3-21)], we know that

$$(4-8) \quad H(-w \circ \lambda; -1) = H(\rho - w(\lambda + \rho); -1) = \dim V(\rho)_{w(\lambda + \rho)} = \dim V(\rho)_{\lambda + \rho}.$$

Taking the alternating sum, we get

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda; -1) = \dim V(\rho)_{\lambda + \rho} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)},$$

which does not converge. In Proposition 4.1, the sum $\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda; q)$ is to be interpreted via its analytic continuation given by the rational function in (4-7).

Appendix

In this appendix, we consider the explicit example of the Kac–Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{H}(3)$ associated to the generalized Cartan matrix

$$(A-1) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Weyl group W is the universal Coxeter group of rank 2, that is, W is isomorphic to the free product $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) * (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. As there are two elements for a given length ≥ 1 , the Poincaré series has the following closed form:

$$\chi(q) = 1 + 2q \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} q^\ell = 1 + 2q \left(\frac{1}{1-q} \right) = \frac{1+q}{1-q} \in \mathbb{Z}\llbracket q \rrbracket.$$

We denote the simple roots by α_1, α_2 and the simple reflections by s_1, s_2 as before. When $\mathfrak{p} = \{(\beta_1; m_1), (\beta_2; m_2), \dots, (\beta_r; m_r)\}$ is an admissible partition, we will sometimes write

$$\mathfrak{p} = (\beta_1; m_1) + (\beta_2; m_2) + \dots + (\beta_r; m_r).$$

For the root multiplicities of $\mathcal{H}(3)$, we refer the reader to [Kang and Melville 1995].

Example A.1. Consider $\lambda = -2\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 \in Q^-$. Then there are 4 admissible partitions of $-\lambda$:

- (1) $(2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (2) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (3) $(\alpha_2; 1) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1)$.
- (4) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (\alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1)$.

All the roots appearing in the list above have multiplicity 1, and so

$$H(-\lambda) = H(2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) = -q + 2q^2 - q^3 = -q(q-1)^2.$$

We calculate

$$-s_1 \circ \lambda = -s_1(\lambda + \rho) + \rho = 5\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2,$$

and see that $-s_1 \circ \lambda$ has 4 admissible partitions:

- (1) $(5\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (2) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (4\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (3) $(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1)$.
- (4) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1)$.

Again, all the roots appearing have multiplicity 1. We therefore deduce that

$$H(-s_1 \circ \lambda) = -q(q-1)^2 = H(-\lambda).$$

Similarly, we compute

$$H(-s_2 \circ \lambda) = -q(q-1)^2 = H(-\lambda).$$

The circle action of $s_1 s_2$ on λ yields

$$-s_1 s_2 \circ \lambda = 14\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2,$$

which is not a root. Yet again we have 4 admissible partitions, in which all the roots still have multiplicity 1, but the lengths are different:

- (1) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (13\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (2) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (10\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (3) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (5\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1) + (8\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (4) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (8\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 1)$.

It follows that

$$H(-s_1 s_2 \circ \lambda) = q^2(q-1)^2 = -qH(-s_1 \circ \lambda) = -qH(-\lambda).$$

One can see that this pattern continues as proved in the previous section to yield

$$(A-2) \quad H(-w \circ \lambda) = (-q)^{\ell(w)-1} H(-\lambda), \quad w \in W, \quad w \neq \text{id}.$$

It follows from (3-3) and (A-2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} H(-w \circ \lambda) &= H(-\lambda) + \sum_{w \in W, w \neq \text{id}} (-1)^{\ell(w)} (-q)^{\ell(w)-1} H(-\lambda) \\ &= (1 + q^{-1})H(-\lambda) - q^{-1} \chi(q)H(-\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d_{-2\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2} = \left[(1 + q^{-1}) \frac{1 - q}{1 + q} - q^{-1} \right] H(-\lambda) = -H(-\lambda) = q(q - 1)^2.$$

Example A.2. Let $\lambda = -2\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2 \in Q^-$, which is a root with multiplicity 2. We have admissible partitions:

- (1) $(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, n)$, $n \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (2) $(2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.
- (3) $(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1)$.
- (4) $(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1)$.
- (5) $(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.

Therefore

$$H(-\lambda) = -2q + 3q^2 - q^3 = -q(q - 1)(q - 2).$$

We have

$$-s_1 \circ \lambda = 8\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2,$$

which is a root with multiplicity 1, and admissible partitions:

- (1) $(8\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1)$.
- (2) $(7\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, n) + (\alpha_1, 1)$, $n \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (3) $(5\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1)$.
- (4) $(5\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1)$.
- (5) $(4\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1)$.

Therefore

$$H(-s_1 \circ \lambda) = -q + 3q^2 - 2q^3 = -q(q - 1)(2q - 1).$$

On the other hand

$$-s_2 \circ \lambda = 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2,$$

which is a root of multiplicity 1, and admissible partitions:

- (1) $(2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2, 1)$.
- (2) $(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, n) + (\alpha_2, 1)$, $n \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (3) $(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1)$.
- (4) $(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.

(5) $(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.

Therefore

$$H(-s_2 \circ \lambda) = -q + 3q^2 - 2q^3.$$

Now

$$-s_2s_1 \circ \lambda = 8\alpha_1 + 22\alpha_2,$$

which is not a root. We make a list of all admissible partitions:

- (1) $(8\alpha_1 + 21\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.
- (2) $(7\alpha_1 + 18\alpha_2, n_1) + (\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1), n_1 \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (3) $(5\alpha_1 + 13\alpha_2, 1) + (3\alpha_1 + 8\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.
- (4) $(5\alpha_1 + 13\alpha_2, 1) + (2\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.
- (5) $(4\alpha_1 + 10\alpha_2, 1) + (3\alpha_1 + 8\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_2, 1)$.

It follows that

$$H(-s_2s_1 \circ \lambda) = q^2 - 3q^2 + 2q^4 = q^2(2q^2 - 3q + 2).$$

On the other hand,

$$-s_1s_2 \circ \lambda = 11\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2,$$

which is not a root, and its admissible partitions are:

- (1) $(10\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1)$.
- (2) $(8\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1)$.
- (3) $(8\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 1) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1)$.
- (4) $(7\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, n) + (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1, 1), n \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (5) $(5\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 1) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 1) + (\alpha_1, 1)$.

Therefore

$$H(-s_1s_2 \circ \lambda) = 2q^2 - 3q^3 + q^4 = q^2(q - 1)(q - 2).$$

Next

$$-s_1s_2s_1 \circ \lambda = 59\alpha_1 + 22\alpha_2,$$

which is not a root. We have

$$H(-s_1s_2s_1 \circ \lambda) = -q^3 + 3q^4 - 2q^5.$$

Also

$$-s_2s_1s_2 \circ \lambda = 11\alpha_1 + 30\alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad H(-s_2s_1s_2 \circ \lambda) = -2q^3 + 3q^4 - q^5.$$

We arrange the information above into Table 1, in which the columns are indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the rows are indexed by $w \in W$ (written as reduced words, ordered lexicographically). The entry corresponding to row w and column n is the coefficient of q^n in $H(-w \circ \lambda)$. An empty space indicates that the coefficient is zero. There

w	$w \circ \lambda$	1	2	3	4	5	...
id	(2, 3)	-2	3	-1			
s_1	(8, 3)	-1	3	-2			
s_2	(2, 4)	-1	3	-2			
s_2s_1	(8, 22)		1	-3	2		
s_1s_2	(11, 4)		2	-3	1		
$s_1s_2s_1$	(59, 22)			-1	3	-2	
$s_2s_1s_2$	(11, 30)			-2	3	-1	
\vdots	\vdots				\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 1. Coefficients of q^n in $H(-w \circ \lambda)$.

is one additional column, which lists the image $w \circ \lambda$ of λ under the circle action by w , written in coordinates with respect to the basis $\{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_2\}$.

Observe that the strings $(-2, 3, -1)$ and $(-1, 3, -2)$ repeat with each iteration, shifting 1 space and switching signs as the word length increases. The coefficient of q^n in $\chi(q)d_\lambda$ can be calculated by taking the sum of the entries in a column multiplied by $(-1)^{\ell(w)}$. We see that

$$d_{-2\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2} = 0.$$

Example A.3. Consider $\lambda = -3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2 \in Q^-$. There are 12 admissible partitions of $-\lambda$:

- (1) $(3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (2) $(3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 2)$.
- (3) $(3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 3)$.
- (4) $(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (5) $(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 2) + (\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (6) $(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_1; 1)$.
- (7) $(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2; 2) + (\alpha_1; 1)$.
- (8) $(2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1)$.
- (9) $(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (10) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.
- (11) $(\alpha_2; 1) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1) + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2; 1)$.
- (12) $(\alpha_1; 1) + (\alpha_2; 1) + (2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2; 1)$.

w	$w \circ \lambda$	1	2	3	4	5	6	...
id	(3,3)	-3	6	-3				
s_1	(7,3)	-2	5	-4	1			
s_2	(3,7)	-2	5	-4	1			
s_1s_2	(19,7)		2	-5	4	-1		
s_2s_1	(7,19)		2	-5	4	-1		
$s_1s_2s_1$	(19,51)			-2	5	-4	1	
$s_2s_1s_2$	(51,19)			-2	5	-4	1	
\vdots	\vdots				\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 2. Coefficients of q^n in $H(-w \circ \lambda)$.

w	$w \circ \lambda$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	...
id	(3, 4)	-4	8	-5	1				
s_1	(10, 4)	-1	7	-8	2				
s_2	(3, 6)	-3	8	-6	1				
s_2s_1	(10,27)		1	-7	8	-2			
s_1s_2	(16,6)		4	-9	5				
$s_1s_2s_1$	(72,27)			-1	7	-8	2		
$s_2s_1s_2$	(16,43)			-4	9	-5			
$s_1s_2s_1s_2$	(72,190)				1	-7	8	-2	
$s_2s_1s_2s_1$	(114,43)				4	-9	5		
\vdots	\vdots					\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 3. Coefficients of q^n in $H(-w \circ \lambda)$.

Note that $m(3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2) = 3$, $m(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2) = m(3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) = 2$, and the other roots each have multiplicity 1. We conclude

$$H(-\lambda) = H(3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2) = -3q + 6q^2 - 3q^3 = -3q(q - 1)^2.$$

We continue to obtain Table 2.

Observe that the string $(-2, 5, -4, 1)$ repeats with each iteration, shifting 1 space and switching signs as the word length increases. Since $-2 + 5 - 4 + 1 = 0$, the coefficient of q^n is 0 for $n \geq 4$. As it happens, the coefficient of q^2 is 0 too. We conclude

$$d_{-3\alpha_1-3\alpha_2} = \frac{-q^3 + q}{\chi(q)} = q(q - 1)^2.$$

Example A.4. Let $\lambda = -3\alpha_1 - 4\alpha_2 \in Q^-$. We produce Table 3 which is similar to that in Example A.3 This time, the strings $(1, -7, 8, -2)$ and $(4, -9, 5)$ alternate.

	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	$-q(q-1)$	$q(q-1)^2$	$q(q-1)^2$	$2q(q-1)^2$
1			0	$2q^2(q-1)$	$-q^2(q-1)(q-4)$
2					$-q(q-1)^2(q^2+q-1)$

Table 4. The polynomial d_λ for $\lambda = -m\alpha_1 - (m+n)\alpha_2 \in P^+$, m is the row and n is the column.

Note that both strings sum to zero. We see that for $n \geq 5$, the coefficient of q^n in d_λ is 0. The coefficients of q and q^4 are also 0. Altogether we obtain

$$d_{-3\alpha_1-4\alpha_2} = \frac{-2q^2(1+q)}{\chi(q)} = 2q^2(q-1).$$

Example A.5. We may compute other d_λ in a similar way. In Table 4, the entry in the space (m, n) is the polynomial d_λ for $\lambda = -m\alpha_1 - (m+n)\alpha_2 \in P^+$. From symmetry in $\mathcal{H}(3)$, we have $d_{-m\alpha_1-(m+n)\alpha_2} = d_{-(m+n)\alpha_1-m\alpha_2}$. We also have

$$d_{-5\alpha_1-5\alpha_2} = -q(q-1)(q^3+3q^2-7q+2).$$

Acknowledgments

We thank Dinakar Muthiah, Anna Puskás and Ian Whitehead for helpful discussions, and are grateful to the anonymous referee for helpful comments.

References

- [Bardy-Panse et al. 2016] N. Bardy-Panse, S. Gaussent, and G. Rousseau, “Iwahori–Hecke algebras for Kac–Moody groups over local fields”, *Pacific J. Math.* **285**:1 (2016), 1–61. MR Zbl
- [Bardy-Panse et al. 2019] N. Bardy-Panse, S. Gaussent, and G. Rousseau, “Macdonald’s formula for Kac–Moody groups over local fields”, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **119**:1 (2019), 135–175. MR Zbl
- [Braverman et al. 2012] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, and D. Kazhdan, “Affine Gindikin–Karpelevich formula via Uhlenbeck spaces”, pp. 17–29 in *Contributions in analytic and algebraic number theory* (Göttingen, Germany, 2009), edited by V. Blomer and P. Mihăilescu, Springer Proc. Math. **9**, Springer, 2012. MR Zbl
- [Braverman et al. 2014] A. Braverman, H. Garland, D. Kazhdan, and M. Patnaik, “An affine Gindikin–Karpelevich formula”, pp. 43–64 in *Perspectives in representation theory* (New Haven, CT, 2012), edited by P. Etingof et al., Contemp. Math. **610**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. MR Zbl
- [Braverman et al. 2016] A. Braverman, D. Kazhdan, and M. M. Patnaik, “Iwahori–Hecke algebras for p -adic loop groups”, *Invent. Math.* **204**:2 (2016), 347–442. MR Zbl
- [Bump 2012] D. Bump, “Introduction: multiple Dirichlet series”, pp. 1–36 in *Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms*, edited by D. Bump et al., Progr. Math. **300**, Birkhäuser, New York, 2012. MR Zbl
- [Cherednik 1995] I. Cherednik, “Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **141**:1 (1995), 191–216. MR Zbl
- [Gaussent and Rousseau 2014] S. Gaussent and G. Rousseau, “Spherical Hecke algebras for Kac–Moody groups over local fields”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **180**:3 (2014), 1051–1087. MR Zbl

- [Kac 1983] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras: an introduction*, Progr. Math. **44**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983. MR Zbl
- [Kang and Melville 1995] S.-J. Kang and D. J. Melville, “Rank 2 symmetric hyperbolic Kac–Moody algebras”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **140** (1995), 41–75. MR Zbl
- [Kim and Lee 2011] H. H. Kim and K.-H. Lee, “Representation theory of p -adic groups and canonical bases”, *Adv. Math.* **227**:2 (2011), 945–961. MR Zbl
- [Kim and Lee 2012] H. H. Kim and K.-H. Lee, “Quantum affine algebras, canonical bases, and q -deformation of arithmetical functions”, *Pacific J. Math.* **255**:2 (2012), 393–415. MR Zbl
- [Macdonald 1972] I. G. Macdonald, “The Poincaré series of a Coxeter group”, *Math. Ann.* **199** (1972), 161–174. MR Zbl
- [Macdonald 1982] I. G. Macdonald, “Some conjectures for root systems”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13**:6 (1982), 988–1007. MR Zbl
- [Macdonald 2003] I. G. Macdonald, “A formal identity for affine root systems”, pp. 195–211 in *Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, edited by S. G. Gindikin, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **210**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. MR Zbl
- [Muthiah et al. 2020] D. Muthiah, A. Puskás, and I. Whitehead, “Correction factors for Kac–Moody groups and t -deformed root multiplicities”, *Math. Z.* **296**:1-2 (2020), 127–145. MR Zbl
- [Steinberg 1968] R. Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **80**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968. MR Zbl

Received February 22, 2020. Revised April 30, 2021.

KYU-HWAN LEE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT
STORRS, CT
UNITED STATES
khlee@math.uconn.edu

DONGWEN LIU
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY
HANGZHOU
CHINA
malieu@zju.edu.cn

THOMAS OLIVER
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM
NOTTINGHAM
UNITED KINGDOM
thomas.oliver@nottingham.ac.uk

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2021 is US \$520/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2021 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 313 No. 1 July 2021

On the BV structure of the Hochschild cohomology of finite group algebras	1
DAVE BENSON, RADHA KESSAR and MARKUS LINCKELMANN	
A Delaunay-type classification result for prescribed mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{M}^2(\kappa) \times \mathbb{R}$	45
ANTONIO BUENO	
Convolution algebras for relational groupoids and reduction	75
IVAN CONTRERAS, NIMA MOSHAYEDI and KONSTANTIN WERNLI	
Determinantal hypersurfaces and representations of Coxeter groups	103
ŽELJKO ČUČKOVIĆ, MICHAEL I. STESSIN and ALEXANDRE B. TCHERNEV	
Adelic superrigidity and profinitely solitary lattices	137
HOLGER KAMMEYER and STEFFEN KIONKE	
Character expansion of Kac–Moody correction factors	159
KYU-HWAN LEE, DONGWEN LIU and THOMAS OLIVER	
A full-twisting formula for the HOMFLY polynomial	185
KEITA NAKAGANE	
Purely cosmetic surgeries and pretzel knots	195
ANDRÁS I. STIPSICZ and ZOLTÁN SZABÓ	
Sufficient conditions for compactness of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on high level forms	213
YUE ZHANG	